

## FACULTY

Robert Bell (London, UK)  
Hans Erik Bøtker (Aarhus, DK)  
Paolo Camici (Milano, I)  
Gianluca Calogero Campo (Ferrara, I)  
Filippo Crea (Roma, I)  
Stefani De Servi (Pavia, I)  
Ingo Eitel (Lübeck, D)  
Marcello Galvani (Forlì, I)  
Derek Hausenloy (Singapore)  
Borja Ibanez (Madrid, E)  
Petra Kleinbongard (Essen, D)  
Luigi La Vecchia (Vicenza, I)  
Roberto Latini (Milano, I)  
Aldo Pietro Maggioni (Firenze, I)  
Serge Masson (Milano, I)  
Giampaolo Niccoli (Roma, I)  
Sven Nylander (Mölnådal, S)  
Luigi Oltrona Visconti (Pavia, I)  
Filippo Ottani (Forlì, I)  
Michel Ovize (Lyon, F)  
Stefano Savonitto (Lecco, I)  
Derek Yellon (London, UK)

## DISCUSSANT

Gabriele Crimi (Pavia, I)  
Giuseppe Di Pasquale (Bologna, I)  
Sergio Leonardi (Pavia, I)  
Ugo Limbruno (Grosseto, I)  
Giampaolo Morciano (Ferrara, I)  
Eliano Navarese (Düsseldorf, D)  
Alessandro Navazio (Reggio Emilia, I)  
Paolo Pinton (Ferrara, I)  
Italo Porto (Roma, I)  
Paolo Sganzerla (Treviglio - BG, I)  
Marco Sicuro (Aosta, I)  
Lidia Stazewsky (Milano, I)  
Stefano Urbinati (Bologna, I)

### SCIENTIFIC SECRETARIAT

Filippo Ottani, MD  
Cardiovascular Unit, Morgagni Hospital, Forlì, Italy  
ottanif@alice.it

Marcello Galvani, MD  
Director, Cardiovascular Unit, Morgagni Hospital, Forlì, Italy

Stefano De Servi, Prof  
Director, Cardiac Care Unit, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

Derek Yellon, Prof  
Director, The Hatter Cardiovascular Institute, University College London  
United Kingdom

### VENUE

Archiginnasio, Conference Hall of the Società Medico-Chirurgica  
P.za Galvani 1, Bologna (Italy)

### ORGANIZING SECRETARIAT

I&C srl  
Via Andrea Costa 202/6 - 40134 Bologna  
Tel 0516144004 - Fax 0516142772  
alessandra.bolognini@iec-srl.it  
www.iec-srl.it

### THANKS TO

AstraZeneca

aspen  
ITALY

correvio



MSD

SIEMENS



BOLOGNA  
June 24, 25 2016

Archiginnasio, Conference Hall of the Società Medico-Chirurgica



## RATIONALE

Despite improvements in prevention and therapy, acute myocardial infarction remains a major cause of disabling morbidity and death in developed countries, and its incidence is rising in developing countries.

The disease is costing the European Union economy billions of euros per year. In the early '70s, seminal experimental studies by Maroko and coworkers first established that myocardial reperfusion could reduce infarct size following an acute coronary artery occlusion. Timely reperfusion using either thrombolysis or primary percutaneous coronary interventions (PPCI) forms the cornerstone of therapy for ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients. However, first, mortality, although reduced, is still substantial and, second, its decline due to the widespread use of reperfusion strategies have resulted in an increase in the incidence of chronic heart failure. Most likely, patients with STEMI and severely depressed LV function would not have survived the acute phase of the disease in the past, but today with the quick access to reperfusion, they are able to survive the index event and live with a significantly damaged heart. Paradoxically, although myocardial reperfusion is essential for myocardial salvage, it comes at a price, because it may in itself induce myocardial injury and cardiomyocyte death, a phenomenon termed "myocardial reperfusion injury". Effective therapeutic strategies are lacking against reperfusion injury. Basic science discovered many cellular mechanism involved in

the pathophysiology of such phenomenon, and has identified many possible therapeutic targets and drugs able to interfere with them. However, translation of this huge therapeutic potential has been disappointing so far. The exciting results derived from experimental animal models failed to be repeated in humans with STEMI. Adjunctive therapies to thrombolysis and PPCI, however, have been greatly improved over the years and this could have contributed to dilute the effects of the new cardioprotective interventions proposed. Specifically, advances in the antiplatelet therapeutic strategies, have set the stage for additional mortality reduction, as is the case of ticagrelor, which mechanisms may go over the plain antiplatelet effect, implying pleiotropic effect potentially cardioprotective. The aim of the present workshop is therefore to review the knowledge in the field of cardioprotection of reperfused-STEMI patients in an effort to find out new ideas to tackle the challenge of its translation into the clinical arena. New therapies able to reduce infarct size or new and ameliorated application of already used drugs (from oral antiplatelet to beta-blockers, for example) or their combination are eagerly sought under the hypothesis that smaller infarct size will result in better long-term LV function and improvement of prognosis. Beyond application of reperfusion strategies, particularly PPCI, cardioprotection in STEMI patients represents a major challenge to 21st century physicians.

## DAY 1, FRIDAY 24 JUNE 2016

**12.00** Registration and welcome light lunch

**12.50** Welcome Address  
Guido Balestra  
*President of the Myrian Zito Sacco Foundation, Forlì*

**13.00** Introduction and opening remarks  
S. De Servi, F. Ottani

### SESSION 1 Myocardial ischemic/ reperfusion injury and cardioprotection basic science: still an appealing research issue

*Chairmen: G.L. Campo, D. Hausenloy*

**13.10** Myocardial Reperfusion Injury: from bench to bedside  
D. Yellon

**13.30** Molecular basis of cardioprotection  
P. Kleinbongard

**13.50** Role of microcirculation and microvascular obstruction (MVO) in infarct size after STEMI and its relationship with I/R injury  
P. Camici

**14.10** Discussion

### SESSION 2 Cardioprotection in humans-1: non-pharmacological and pharmacological modalities

*Chairmen: P. Kleinbongard, G.P. Niccoli*

**14.30** Ischemic conditioning  
a) Ischemic pre- and post-conditioning  
D. Hausenloy

b) Remote ischemic conditioning  
H.E. Bøtker

c) Pharmacological recruitment of cardioprotective signalling  
M. Ovize

**15.15** Discussion

**15.45** Coffee break

### SESSION 3 Cardioprotection in humans-2: unresolved issues in translating the protection to the myocardium

*Chairmen: L. Oltrona Visconti, M. Ovize*

**16.15** Cardiac Magnetic Resonance (CMR) imaging in cardioprotection trials: a controversial matter:

a) CMR is a powerful and accurate tool to quantify area at risk and reduce sample size  
I. Eitel

b) Area at risk quantification by CMR is another source of bias and should not be used in clinical trials  
B. Ibanez

**16.45** Are we at the end of the whole story?  
M. Galvani

**17.00** Discussion

**17.45** First day end of scientific sessions

## DAY 2, SATURDAY 25 JUNE 2016

### SESSION 4 Why did cardioprotection fail to translate into a better outcome in STEMI patients?

*Chairmen: M. Galvani, B. Ibanez*

**09.00** Trial design and endpoint selection.  
A. Maggioni

**09.20** Role of intravenous antiplatelet agents and immediate versus delayed stenting of the culprit artery  
S. Savonitto

**09.40** Platelets and anti-platelets drugs: the pleiotropic effects of ticagrelor and its impact on cardioprotection  
S. Nylander

**10.00** The success hypothesis: the unintended cardioprotection from existing pharmaceutical interventions in STEMI"  
R. Bell

**10.20** Coffee break

### SESSION 5 Challenges in translating cardioprotection into a better outcome for patients with STEMI: how to tackle it?

*Chairmen: P. Camici P, D. Hausenloy*

**10.40** Improving cardioprotection in STEMI: what's coming soon  
R. Latini

**11.00** PLENARY LECTURE  
Cardioprotection in STEMI patients: a puzzle with too many pieces?  
F. Crea

**11.30** Final Panel Discussion  
*led by: B. Ibanez, L. La Vecchia, S. Masson, D. Yellon*

a) Selection, potency and potential combination of the cardioprotective approaches

b) Trial design and endpoint selection (selection of patients, mechanistic vs pragmatic trial, "hard" end-points vs surrogate end-points, acute phase interventions vs subacute phase interventions or combination of both types, etc.

c) Redefining the role of the adjunctive therapies, either pharmacological and non pharmacological (ticagrelor vs other antiplatelet drugs, delayed vs immediate coronary stenting after successful and stable POBA of the culprit lesion, etc)

**12.45** Closing remarks: consensus conclusions and proposals for future research  
F. Ottani, S. De Servi

**13.00** End of scientific sessions